For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	DANIEL PEREZ,	No. C 06-2227 SI (pr)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
10	v.	ALIER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
11	CHARLES COX; et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	Plaintiff has filed a motion to alter or amend the judgment in which he argues that the	
15	court misunderstood the actual injury requirement for a denial of access to the courts claim as	
16	discussed in Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343 (1996). The court did not err in dismissing this action	
17	because plaintiff had suffered no actual injur	ry. The motion to alter or amend the judgment
18	therefore is DENIED. (Docket # 6.)	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: August 4, 2006	SUSAN ILLSTON
21		United States District Judge
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		